2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA(LRBs0071/1)-AB2)

Received: 05/28/2003					Received By: gmalaise Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Jonathan Klein		
Wanted: 05/30/2003 For: Dale Schultz (608) 266-0703							
				This file may be shown to any legislator: NO			
May Contact:				Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Employ Priv - wage claims					Extra Copies:		
Submit	via email: YE S						
Request	er's email:	Sen.Schult	z@legis.stat	e.wi.us			
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:					•	
Pre To	pic:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		
No spec	ific pre topic g	ven					
Topic:							
Wage cl	aim lien priorit	у					
Instruc	tions:						
See Atta	iched1. Defir	ne "commercial	lending instit	tution."			
Draftin	g History:						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	gmalaise 05/29/2003	jdyer 05/30/2003					
/1			rschluet 05/30/2003	3	sbasford 05/30/2003	sbasford 05/30/2003	
/2	gmalaise	jdyer	rschluet	. ————	sbasford	sbasford	

06/02/2003 01:12:53 PM Page 2

 Vers.
 Drafted
 Reviewed
 Typed
 Proofed
 Submitted
 Jacketed
 Required

 06/02/2003
 06/02/2003
 06/02/2003
 06/02/2003
 06/02/2003
 06/02/2003

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA(LRBs0071/1)-AB2)

Received: 05/28/2003 Received By: gmalaise Wanted: 05/30/2003 Identical to LRB: For: Dale Schultz (608) 266-0703 By/Representing: Jonathan Klein This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: gmalaise May Contact: Addl. Drafters: Subject: **Employ Priv - wage claims** Extra Copies: Submit via email: YES Requester's email: Sen.Schultz@legis.state.wi.us Carbon copy (CC:) to: **Pre Topic:** No specific pre topic given **Topic:** Wage claim lien priority **Instructions:** See Attached--1. Define "commercial lending institution." **Drafting History:** Vers. Drafted Reviewed **Typed Proofed Submitted** Jacketed Required /? gmalaise jdyer 05/29/2003 05/30/2003 /1 rschluet sbasford sbasford 05/30/2003 05/30/2003 05/30/2003

05/30/2003 09:12:31 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<**END**>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA(LRBs0071/1)-AB2)

Received: 05/28/2003

Received By: gmalaise

Wanted: 05/30/2003

Identical to LRB:

For: Dale Schultz (608) 266-0703

By/Representing: Jonathan Klein

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: gmalaise

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Employ Priv - wage claims

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Sen.Schultz@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Wage claim lien priority

Instructions:

See Attached--1. Define "commercial lending institution." 2. Clarify that priority applies to first \$3,000 earned in last six months even if total wages owed is greater or wages are owed for a longer period

Drafting History:

FE Sent For:

Vers.

Drafted

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

gmalaise

Reviewed

<END>

Proofed

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Klein, Jonathan

Sent:

Tuesday, May 27, 2003 10:28 AM Malaise, Gordon; Marchant, Robert

To: Subject:

Senate Sub Amendment to AB 2

I just finished a phone conversation with Nick Zavos regarding the Senate Substitute Amendment to AB 2. He may be calling to discuss two possible amendments to the amendment. 1.) a cross reference to define commercial lending, and 2.) rewording the "to the extent" language in 109.09 (2) (c). Thanks for your help on this.

Jonathan Klein Office of Senator Dale Schultz (800) 978-8008 (608) 266-0703

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Zavos, Nicholas

Sent:

Wednesday, May 28, 2003 2:46 PM

To: Cc: Malaise, Gordon Marchant, Robert

Subject:

AB 2

Hi Gordon -

Jonathan in Sen. Schultz's office asked that I work with you on getting an amendment to the sub to AB 2. There are two changes they wanted.

1) First, they want to include a definition of "commercial lender." They sent me the attached memo on what they want to do with that.



942074_2.DOC

2) Second, they wanted to modify that sentence in section 4 that we talked about the other day. We had talked about the fact that a litigious party might try to argue that only tiens of \$3000 or less will get any superpriority. I think we both agreed that flipping the clauses around might solve the problem. Something to the effect of "Subject to subd. 3., up to \$3000 of a lien under par. (a) shall take precedence over the lien of a commercial lending institution that originates before the lien under par. (a) takes effect if the \$3000 represents wages earned by an employee within the 6 months preceding the date on which the employee files the wage claim under sub. (1) or brings an action under s. 109.03 (3) or the date on which the department receives the we claim under s. 109.10 (4) (a), whichever is applicable"

Unfortunately they are talking about having a hearing on this on Tuesday June 3.

Let me know if this makes sense and how that timeframe looks.

Nick

Nicholas R. Zavos Staff Attorney Wisconsin Legislative Council (608) 266-1308 nicholas.zavos@legis.state.wi.us

Proposed Amendment to Wis. Stat. § 109.09

<u>The Amendment</u>: Revise the Draft Senate Substitute Amendment to 2003 Assembly Bill 2 (designated LRBs0071/1; RJM&GMM:cjs:rs) (the "Substitute Amendment") as follows:

1. Add a new subsection to section 109.09:

109.09(3) As used in this section:

- (a) Other than in par. (b), "financial institution" has the same meaning as "financial institution" under s. 69.30(1)(b).
- (b) "Commercial lending institution" has the same meaning as "financial institution" under s 234.01(5k).
- 2. Delete ", as defined in s. 69.30(1)(b)," from proposed section 109.09(2)(c)3 (lines 4-5 and line 14 on page 4 of the Substitute Amendment).

Why the Provision Ought to be Amended: Among the goals of the Substitute Amendment is to treat bank and non-bank lenders the same with respect to future lending transactions, without retroactively weakening the security position of banks as to current loans or loan commitments. This goal is accomplished in part by distinguishing between banking entities on the one hand ("financial institutions") and non-bank lenders on the other hand ("commercial lending institutions").

As drafted, the Substitute Amendment introduces the term "commercial lending institution" without defining it. The term does not appear to be defined elsewhere in the Wisconsin Statutes. In contrast, the term "financial institution" is defined in several sections of the Wisconsin Statutes, but has a broader definition in some sections than in others. For example, in section 69.30(1)(b), "financial institution" is defined as "any bank, savings bank, savings and loan association or credit union that is authorized to do business under state or federal laws relating to financial institutions." In section 234.01(5k), on the other hand, "financial institution" has a broader scope: "a bank, savings bank, savings and loan association, credit union, insurance company, finance company, mortgage banker registered under s. 224.72, community development corporation, small business investment corporation, pension fund or other lender which provides commercial loans in this state."

The proposed amendment simply inserts definitions for "financial institution" (based on section 69.30(1)(b)) and "commercial lending institution" (based on section 234.01(5k)) into section 109.09 in accord with the intended meanings, while avoiding to the extent possible confusion over the source of those definitions. The amendment retains the current meaning of "financial institution" as used in section 109.09(2) for several years. The amendment adds a definition for "commercial lending institution" that is broader than financial institution—essentially including all lenders who provide commercial loans to borrowers who have operations or assets in Wisconsin.

Date (time) Fr: 5/30

AMENDMENT

See form AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.

(S) A AMENDMENT

A AMENDMENT (LRBa

TO (S) A SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT) (LRBs OO 71 / 1),

TO 2003 SB SJR SR (AB) AJR AR (LRB)

At the locations indicated, amend the SUOSTITUTE amendment as follows: (fill ONLY if "engrossed" or "as shown by")

#. Page 3.., line 3... de la le lunes 3 to 5 and subskirte 3

#. Page. 3., line. 3.: delete "1." and substitute "Im."

#. Page. 3., line. S.: delete "?." and substitute "Im."

#. Page 3.., line 15: after that line insert.

#. Page ..., line ...

AND THE AM'S
pam (74 7)
9
"SECTEON (30) 3m. CR' 109.09 (2)(c) 1.
"SECTION (35) 3m. CR' 109,09 (2) (c) 1.
129.09 (2) (c) 1. In this paragraph?
139.39 (2) (c) 1. In this paragraph?
It a " Commercial lending mytitution" has the
- Samuel Mas (1)
meaning given for "financial institution" in 4. 234.01 (5)
Of by " Sinancial mytitution" has the meaning given in
= 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5. 69.30 (1) (b)."
Pace 4 Due to dolot 12 in lest 1
#. Page 4, line 4: delete "institution, w"
and substitute "institution".
\bigvee
It Page 4, line 5: delete "defined in 1. 69.30 (1) (b), "
(section 109.09 (a))
It Page 40, line 25: after "(c)" insert "1, and"
mest 1, and "
Page 41 sline to: delete "2." and substitute "1. ?.
(humber)
(FVS) Common

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Zavos, Nicholas

Sent:

Monday, June 02, 2003 12:02 PM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

FW: Reinhart feedback: Amendments to AB2

I don't know that this one is necessary, actually.

----Original Message----

From: Klein, Jonathan

Sent: Monday, June 02, 2003 11:47 AM

To: Zavos, Nicholas

Subject: FW: Reinhart feedback: Amendments to AB2

----Original Message----

From: Doug Johnson [mailto:dqj@supranet.net]

Sent: Monday, June 02, 2003 11:38 AM

To: Jonathan Klein

Subject: FW: Reinhart feedback: Amendments to AB2

Jonathon:

After a more detailed review of the amendments, I am still satisfied that they accomplish the goals we have discussed.

I do have one final suggestion: Because the statute now will define "financial institution" in Sec. 109.09(2)(c)1., it is no longer necessary or appropriate to include the language "as defined in 69.30(1)(b)" following "financial institution." The current amendments eliminate two of the three times the Bill included this phrase. To eliminate the third instance, the following amendment could be added:

Page 4, line 14: delete "as defined in 69.30(1)(b),".

Thanks.

Doug



State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE Toda,!



SENATE AMENDMENT,

TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT (LRBs0071/1),

TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 2

Page 4, line 14: delete "your delined"

Tomothicaling "as delined in s. 69.30 (1) g(b), ".

- 1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
- 2 **1.** Page 3, line 3: delete "1." and substitute "1m.".
- 3 **2.** Page 3, line 5: delete "1." and substitute "1m.".
- 4 **3.** Page 3, line 15: after that line insert:
- 5 "Section 3m. 109.09 (2) (c) 1. of the statutes is created to read:
- 6 109.09 (2) (c) 1. In this paragraph:
- a. "Commercial lending institution" has the meaning given for "financial
- 8 institution" in s. 234.01 (5k).
- b. "Financial institution" has the meaning given in s. 69.30 (1) (b).".
- 10 **4.** Page 4, line 4: delete "institution, as" and substitute "institution".
- 11 **5.** Page 4, line 5: delete "defined in s. 69.30 (1) (b),".

- 1 **6.** Page 40, line 25: after "section 109.09 (2) (c)" insert "1. and".
- 2 **7.** Page 41, line 10: delete "2." and substitute "1., 2.,".

3 (END)

90567/2

S. A. 2 to S. Sub. amlt./ to AB-2

In enrolling, the following consection was made.

H. Page 2, line 1: delete

D.



State of Misconsin 2003–2004 LEGISLATURE

CORRECTIONS IN:

SENATE AMENDMENT 2, TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 2

Prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau (October 6, 2003)

In enrolling, the following correction was made:

1. Page 2, line 1: delete "s.".